SECRET

DDEAT 5444-35

10 December 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, Office of Special Projects

SUBJECT : Background Information Regarding:

"L" Building, VAFB

1. The status of "L" Building at VAFB has always been unlike any other CORONA program facility for two principle reasons:

- A. Location on a military base
- B. Under the jurisdiction of a component of the contractor's organization not directly responsible to CORONA management
- 2. The CORONA program has utilized the "L" Euilding from late 1959 to the present. This facility has been leased to LMSC by USAF (as are most contractor buildings at VAFB) but remains under the cognizance of the AF. Major repairs, modifications, expansion, etc. ordinarily requires AF approval since VAFB funds would normally be expended. The building has been identified on the Base as a restricted area requiring special approval for access. This is not uncommon at Vandenberg and the "L" Building blends well into this environment. No unusual security precautions are evident and there always has been an armed guard at the entrance. Due to a change in Base policy about 18 months ago, Air Police have relieved the civilian guards at most contractor facilities and an AP currently is stationed on the "door" at "L" Building.

NRO review(s) completed.

25X1

the names of LMSC/VAFB personnel who are witting and are assigned to the "L" Building or whose duties require frequent In addition, the list carries the names of those AF eers (all approved/briefed CORONA-2) assigned to "L" using or who require frequent access. This list currently includes the senior 241 program staff members from MISP etc.). In the past, certain Project Headquarters and LISC/AP technical personnel who were widely knows optics/reconnaissance experts were listed in "alies." Visitors to the "L" Dailding, not on the access list, are certified through the IMDO/VAFD Decurity Officer who provides a pass permiting access upon proper recognition. Mermally, certification has been energiated through the Headquarters Security Representative or the Launch Operations Control Officer to the LESC/VAFE Security Officer. Whenever a question of verification of an individuals's clearance has arises, the Headquarters Security Representative is contacted. months ago a Signal Center was installed at "L" Building and visitor certifications of Headquarters and contractor personnel are passed over this link. SAFSP has been advised concerning use of the TWX link for certification of visitors but there is evidence they have declined to avail themselves of this means and continue to go through the Lnunch Operations Control Officer.

- 4. There is no evidence that anyone not holding a COBONA approval (with exception of maintenance personnel, etc.) has ever been admitted to "L" Building, nor have there been any reports of any violations or breaches of security relating to this facility. The writer has personally observed the security procedures employed at this facility and can state that he has always found them to be satisfactory. He can assure the reader that all of the "L" Building contractor personnel have been thoroughly indoctrinated and have displayed a keen appreciation and enthusiasm for the security and cover requirements of the program.
- The current problem, if one exists, is mainly political and is a result of the friction between Headquarters and SAFSP. It centers about the present program management dispute, and more precisely with the "need-to-know" approval authority. It would appear that SAFSP by deciding not to use the TWX link as directed by the Headquarters message wishes to avoid a conformation on this point. Whether the issue should be forced by this Headquarters, at this time, is not advised by the writer. The reason being that "L" Building is really in their ballpark and security's position there is rather complicated do to the Lausch organizational problem and the special security ranage—ments Headquarters Security presentative has with the Launch Operations Central Officer when regard to the lausch a circuit

25X1

DDS&T-5444-65

when resolution of the CORONA management problem achieved, then this issue thould also solve itself.

nas been achieved, then this issue thould also solve itself.

nas been achieved, when the "flouory-to-pad" concept is implemented,

Further muilding function will pass from the scene. It is

the "Mood that "L" fluiding will then be used prime thy as

unapprary holding area in the event of schedule slippe or

the minor repair purposes.

7. In conjunction with the foregoing, the writer wishes to register the following stone since they have contributed to the variable winds effecting the COROMA program and the atmosphere at VAFE:

ITEMS:

- A. The covert nature of the Discover Program based as it was on providing a test-bed vehicle for experimental and research payloads, tended to enlist the enthusiastic support and cooperation of all associated with the enterprise and a strong desire to limit membership in the "club" had an effect in holding down "need-to-know" security approvals. It should be noted that the AF personnel associated with the project, at that period, were equally zealous in maintaining the "exclusiveness" of the group.
- B. The Gilpatric Directive (March 1962) had the following effect on the CORONA program:
 - 1. Discover Project was "terminated" by SSD.
 - 2. The project, however, was quickly resurrected under an AF project number which after a series of changes is currently shown as Project 241. Meantime an AF reorganization at SSD caused the CORONA project to come under the purview of SAFSP (Gen.Greer). This organization had always been "openly" identified with AF satellite photo reconnaissance efforts i.e. Samos, etc.
 - 3. CORONA project tended to lose its "uniqueness" when grouped, organizationally, with similar endeavors and the resultant "erops pertidization" that soon occurred.
- C. The increased technical staffing by AF on OCHOMA scon result in the placement of the Windows

DDS&T-5444-65

25X1

at "L" Building and gave rise to a "squatters rights" mentality by the military as firms.

- The LMSC/AP management does not entend to you AP technical representatives interface frequently with the "L" Building technicless.and accomes a each payload through the "L" Eutleing presenting until flight; however the two groups do not a port to a common head. IMSC/AP management has become endeavoring to extend their control to anclue: "L" Building since 1960 but it has been successfully resisted in each inctance by LMSC/VAFB Subsequently, there have been frequent Litempis enlist the support of the government representatives at AP in these attempts. Government intervention would not appear to be warranted unless the payload and project were being jeopardized by the squabble.
- It should be understood that we are completely dependent on the AF for security support at VAFB in the event that an emergency should develop. Although the Headquarters Security Representative is usually present during launch operations, he is not openly identified but in practice works through the LMSC/VAFB Security Officer and Launch Operations Control Officer. Therefore, amicable relations continue to be a necessity since Headquarters Security does not have any assets of its own at VAFB.

Security Staff, OSP

Attachments: 25X1

A. Cable -

B. Cable -C. Cable -

coident Paergency

on was on Astacadure

Monorandum -[30-10 July 60] DCD Directive (Clipcorie) - Security zac Public Enformation Policy for Military

Space Programs, Ded. March 23, 1002

ILLEGIB